

The Lymphocyte Transformation Test for *Borrelia* Detects Active Lyme Borreliosis and Verifies Effective Antibiotic Treatment

Volker von Baehr¹, Cornelia Doebis¹, Hans-Dieter Volk², Rüdiger von Baehr^{1,*}

¹Institute for Medical Diagnostics, Immunology Department, Nicolaistraße 22, 12247 Berlin

²Institute for Medical Immunology, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Campus Mitte, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin

Abstract: *Borrelia*-specific antibodies are not detectable until several weeks after infection and even if they are present, they are no proof of an active infection. Since the sensitivity of culture and PCR for the diagnosis or exclusion of borreliosis is too low, a method is required that detects an active *Borrelia* infection as early as possible. For this purpose, a lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) using lysate antigens of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto*, *Borrelia afzelii* and *Borrelia garinii* and recombinant OspC was developed and validated through investigations of seronegative and seropositive healthy individuals as well as of seropositive patients with clinically manifested borreliosis. The sensitivity of the LTT in clinical borreliosis before antibiotic treatment was determined as 89,4% while the specificity was 98,7%. In 1480 patients with clinically suspected borreliosis, results from serology and LTT were comparable in 79.8% of cases. 18% were serologically positive and LTT-negative. These were mainly patients with borreliosis after antibiotic therapy. 2.2% showed a negative serology and a positive LTT result. Half of them had an early erythema migrans. Following antibiotic treatment, the LTT became negative or borderline in patients with early manifestations of borreliosis, whereas in patients with late symptoms, it showed a regression while still remaining positive. Therefore, we propose the follow-up monitoring of disseminated *Borrelia* infections as the main indication for the *Borrelia*-LTT.

Keywords: *Borrelia* serology, borreliosis, diagnostics, immune response, lymphocyte transformation test, T cells.

INTRODUCTION

Lyme borreliosis is the most common disease transmitted by tick bite. Lyme borreliosis first manifests locally on the skin at the site of the tick bite and then systemically, possibly affecting one or more organs such as the skin, joints, muscles, sense organs, nervous system and heart. In the latter case, early (stage I and II) and late (stage III) manifestations can be distinguished (1). Lyme borreliosis should be diagnosed by history and clinical symptoms. If the clinical symptoms are clear, laboratory diagnostics are of secondary importance only. The difficulty is, however, that the tick bite often goes unnoticed and the erythema migrans does not necessarily occur or is not noticed. In these cases, the requirement for early antibiotic treatment of borreliosis to prevent the complications of systemic dissemination of the pathogen, particularly of late borreliosis, cannot be met.

The symptoms associated with the systemic phase of Lyme borreliosis can be highly varied and ambiguous. In these cases, the detection of *Borrelia*-specific antibodies (serological laboratory diagnosis) becomes important for the diagnosis and treatment decision. The necessarily high quality demands cannot yet be completely fulfilled by *Borrelia* serology due to the following reasons: 1) *Borrelia*-specific

IgM antibodies, and IgG antibodies in particular, cannot be detected until several weeks after infection [1, 2]. Seronegative cases with late stage Lyme borreliosis have also been recently described [3]. But these are becoming more rare with the increasing quality of the assays following the introduction of recombinant *Borrelia* antigens. 2) The heterogeneity of *Borrelia* species and strains within a species requires a polymorphism of the *Borrelia*-specific protein antigens [4, 5]. This is a difficult problem for the sensitivity of *Borrelia* serology. 3) IgM antibodies against *Borrelia* OspC may be of the nonspecific type [4, 5]. 4) A positive serological finding alone is not proof of a current active *Borrelia* infection [1, 4, 5]. 5) *Borrelia* serology is not suitable for the monitoring of therapy and evaluation of progress as IgG and IgM antibodies may persist for years after borreliosis has been cured [6].

The direct detection of *Borrelia* by culture or PCR has a high diagnostic value in the case of a positive result, but a negative result does not rule out Lyme borreliosis [4, 5].

There is currently no method available which, in addition to the serology, answers the question as to whether a specific case is a status post *Borrelia* infection or active borreliosis.

Each humoral immune response to an infection requires a specific cellular immune response with clonal proliferation of various antigen-specific lymphocyte subpopulations. Of central importance here are antigen-specific T helper lymphocytes (CD4⁺ T_H cells). In addition to effector T cells, long-lived T and B memory lymphocytes are formed. In the presence of antigen-presenting cells and protein antigens,

*Address correspondence to this author at the Institut für Medizinische Diagnostik Berlin, Nicolaistraße 22, 12247 Berlin, Germany;
Tel: +49-30-77001220; Fax: +49-30-77001236;
E-mail: v.baehr@imd-berlin.de

specific CD4⁺ T memory cells also proliferate *in vitro*. The lymphocyte transformation test (LTT), also known as the lymphocyte proliferation or lymphocyte activation test, is based on this principle [7]. Shortly after the discovery of *B. burgdorferi*, it was demonstrated that blood lymphocytes from Lyme borreliosis patients proliferate in the presence of *Borrelia* lysates [8, 9]. Published data on the diagnostic value of the *Borrelia*-LTT, especially in seronegative patients, can be found beginning in 1988 [10-17]. However, false positive LTT reactions have also been described [18-20]. Important for the motivation of our investigations presented here were observations that positive LTT reactions of blood lymphocytes to *Borrelia* antigens declined significantly or were negative after antibiotic treatment of Lyme borreliosis [12, 14, 16, 21]. This leads to the hypothesis that *Borrelia*-specific T helper cells circulate in the blood in detectable numbers only during an active immune response against *Borrelia* and persist in a non-florid infection in lymphoid organs.

Using improved cell culture and measurement techniques as well as our own experience in the development of antigen-specific LTT applications, we will seek to answer using re-evaluation of patient data the following questions:

1. Is there a correlation between the results of *Borrelia* serology and *Borrelia*-LTT?
2. What are the *Borrelia*-LTT results in clinically healthy seropositive subjects?
3. Is it possible to obtain an indication of the respective species involved from the LTT reactions to antigens of the three *Borrelia* species?
4. Are *Borrelia*-LTT results influenced by antibiotic treatment?
5. How high are the sensitivity and specificity of the *Borrelia*-LTT?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and Patients

All laboratory tests were performed in the course of routine diagnostic procedures that were applied to prove or to exclude borreliosis, respectively.

The statistical re-evaluation was performed retroactive considering the laboratory data and the documented clinical data by the doctors.

The seronegative control group (n = 160) included 120 clinically healthy subjects (blood donors) and 40 patients with autoimmune diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, lupus erythematosus visceralis, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, diabetes mellitus type 1) (86 men, 74 women, aged 18 to 72 years).

The seropositive group included patients with clinical borreliosis (n = 94); erythema migrans (n = 28), acute monoarthritis (n = 14), Bannwarth's syndrome (n = 6), migrating arthromyalgias (n = 34), facial palsy (n = 5), acute neuroborreliosis (n = 7) as well as clinically healthy subjects (n = 48) including forest workers and hunters, persons working full time in agriculture and horticulture and hobby gardeners (34 men, 14 women; aged 24-72 years).

Borrelia serology and *Borrelia*-LTT were investigated in parallel in patients with unknown serology but clinical diagnosis of suspected Lyme borreliosis (n = 1480).

Patients with clinical borreliosis with positive or unknown serology were used for LTT progression studies.

The blood of 20 healthy persons was used for the preliminary finding of appropriate antigen concentrations for the LTT.

Borrelia Serology

For antibody determination, recombinant *Borrelia* IgG and IgM ELISA (Mikrogen, Munich, Germany) and the IgG and IgM immunoblot / recombinant immunoblot (Mikrogen) were used. The immunoblot contains the following specific recombinant *Borrelia* antigens: p100, p41 int (*B. afzelii*, *B. garinii*), p41 (*B. sensu stricto*), p39, p31, p25, p18, VsIE.

The tests and evaluation of the results were performed according to the working instructions of the manufacturer. The results for the ELISA test are given as "negative" or "positive". Only the results of the *Borrelia* immunoblot were evaluated for the classification of the subjects / patients as "seronegative", "borderline" or "seropositive".

Borrelia Antigens

Purified lyophilized lysate antigens generated from day 6 cultures of *Borrelia sensu stricto* (strain LW2 isolated from skin biopsy), *Borrelia afzelii* (strain Pko, DSM No. 16073) and *Borrelia garinii* (strain PBi isolated from CSF, Pettenkofer Institute, Munich) were kindly provided by Seramun, Heidesee, Germany. Seramun determined the protein content in purified lysate using the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce, Prod. No: 23225). Each lysate contains, among others, the following antigens: p83/100; p58; p43; p41; p39; p30; p22-25; p21; p19; p17. Antigens were tested by using IgG immunoblot (Fig. 1, supplementary data). All lysate antigens used in the LTT test are tested by Seramun with 8 positive and negative control sera in the solid phase IgG and IgM ELISA. Only those lysates were used for the LTT test that reacted with positive control sera only, and not with negative control sera.

Recombinant OspC of *B. afzelii* (host cell *E. coli* TG) was provided by Micromun, Greifswald, Germany. The protein is purified through Ni Sepharose (Amersham Bioscience) and purity testing was performed by Microimun using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

Lymphocyte Transformation Test (Syn. Lymphocyte Proliferation Test)

10 ml of heparinized venous blood were obtained under sterile conditions and processed by density gradient centrifugation to obtain peripheral blood mononuclear cells (85-95% lymphocytes and 5-15% monocytes) as described elsewhere [21]. After washing the cells with PBS (PAA Laboratories, Linz, Austria), the cell pellet was resuspended to obtain a cell count of 1×10^6 /ml in cell culture medium (RPMI 1640; PAA) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine (PAA), 100 µg/ml gentamicin (PAA) and 5% autologous serum. To improve antigen presentation and suppression of nonspecific

cell activation, recombinant interferon- α (rIFN α 2b, Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, USA) was added to all assays at a concentration of 125 U/ml (23). To inhibit nonspecific activating effects caused by the lipoids and lipoproteins contained in the *Borrelia* lysate antigen preparations, polymyxin B (PAA) is used in a final concentration of 5 μ g/ml. All assays were performed in triplicates. Cell stimulation was performed by using 2×10^5 cells/well in a 96-well microplate (Nunclon, Wiesbaden, Germany) for 6 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cells were labeled with ³H-thymidine (1 μ Ci/ml, Hartmann Analytics, Braunschweig, Germany) 12 hours prior to cell harvest. Cell harvest was performed on glass fiber filters (Wallac, Lund, Sweden) using a cell harvester (Wallac). The incorporated ³H-thymidine activity was measured as "counts per minute" (cpm) with a solid phase beta counter (Wallac). Mean value of the triplicates are used for analysis. The results for each antigen are given as a stimulation index (SI; ratio of cpm of the culture with and w/o test antigen).

To demonstrate the functional integrity of the immune cells, positive controls were performed in parallel. As an antigen-presenting cell-dependent positive control a recall antigen mixture of tetatoxoid (GlaxoSmithKline, Munich, Germany), influenza split antigen (GlaxoSmithKline) and cytomegalovirus antigen (Abbott, Wiesbaden, Germany) is used. As mitogen control the nonspecific cell stimulator pokeweed mitogen (PWM, PAA) is added in a concentration of 1.5 μ g/ml. The test evaluation is performed only if antigen control reaches SI > 5 and mitogen control reaches SI > 20.

For the assessment of the *Borrelia*-LTT we used the following cut-offs:

SI < 3 = negative test result,

SI > 5 = positive test result,

SI 3 to 5 = weak positive test result.

For the validation of the *Borrelia*-LTT a test result is considered positive if at least two of the four *Borrelia* antigens show SI values of 3 and higher.

Statistics

The sensitivity of the LTT was evaluated by taking the percentual number of LTT positive patients in relation to all

seropositive patients with clinical borreliosis. The specificity was calculated by taking the relative number of LTT negative results in a group of seronegative clinical healthy subjects.

Statistical analysis for the LTT validation results was performed by using the Chi-square test (Prism 5, GraphPad software, La Jolla, USA).

RESULTS

Determining the Appropriate Test Dose of Antigen for the *Borrelia*-LTT

To validate the antigen specificity of the *Borrelia*-LTT, blood samples were used from 20 clinically healthy *Borrelia*-seronegative volunteers. Isolated PBMC were tested in a dilution series (12, 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5 μ g/ml) of the 4 *Borrelia* test antigens in the LTT assay. Using this setting the highest antigen concentration for the *Borrelia*-LTT which did not give any positive (SI from 3) or borderline (SI > 2 < 3) LTT result was determined. The working concentrations per assay calculated in this manner contained 1 μ g of protein for the lysate antigen of *B. sensu stricto*, 2 μ g each of the lysate antigens of *B. afzelii* and *B. garinii*, and 0.5 μ g for rOspC. All further tests were performed with the given antigen concentrations.

Sensitivity and Specificity of the *Borrelia*-LTT

The results of the validation studies for the *Borrelia*-LTT are summarized in Table 1.

In 160 seronegative subjects/patients (120 healthy seronegative and 40 patients with autoimmune diseases), a specificity of 98,7% was found if the borderline results of SI > 2 < 3 were evaluated as negative. Weak false positive reactions (SI > 3 < 5) were detected in only 2 cases. No differences were found between clinically healthy subjects and patients with autoimmune diseases.

In 94 seropositive patients with clinical borreliosis before antibiotic treatment, the sensitivity of the *Borrelia*-LTT (SI > 3 to 28) was 89,4%. Ten proved to be negative (10,6%). From the 13 patients with a typical clinical picture of neuroborreliosis, 10 showed positive results in the LTT. Two out of 5 patients with facial palsy and 1 out of 7 patients with

Table 1. Results of Validation Studies of the *Borrelia*-LTT

	<i>Borrelia</i>-LTT Results			
	Negative SI < 3		Positive SI > 3	
	n	(%)	n	(%)
Seronegative control group n=160	158	(98,7)	2	(1,3)
Seropositive test subject group, clinically healthy n=48	44	(91,6)	4	(8,4)
Seropositive patients, clinical borreliosis n=94	10	(10,6)	84	(89,4)

acute neuroborreliosis showed a negative LTT.

In 48 clinically healthy seropositive subjects, 91.6% (n = 44) were found to be negative and 8.4% (n = 4) showed weak positive results in the Borrelia-LTT. The specificity of the positive Borrelia-LTT for clinically active borreliosis was 91.6% in this group.

Statistical analysis showed a significant difference for the LTT of the Borrelia-IgG/IgM seropositive patients who are clinically active from clinically healthy seropositive patients (p < 0.0001, Chi-square test).

Correlation of Borrelia Serology (Immunoblot) and Borrelia-LTT

The correspondence of Borrelia serology and Borrelia-LTT was studied by re-evaluation of simultaneously conducted laboratory results of 1480 patients with clinical suspicion of borreliosis by parallel determination of the serology and the Borrelia-LTT.

In 1182 out of 1480 patients (79.8%), the Borrelia serology and Borrelia-LTT showed corresponding results. 37.8% (n=560) showed a positive serology and a positive LTT whereas 42% (n=622) were LTT as well as serology negative. The combination of a positive serology with a negative Borrelia-LTT was found in 266 patients (18.0%). 32 patients (2.2%) were seronegative and LTT-positive.

The discrepant results were followed up retrospectively with the treating physicians in order to clarify any contribution of other clinical conditions (Tables 2a and 2b). The seropositive/LTT-negative group consisted predominantly of patients with status post antibiotic treatment of Lyme borreliosis (n=240, 90.2%). In the remaining 26 patients only IgM antibodies against OspC were detected. In these cases, there was evidence of rheumatoid arthritis (n = 15), reactive arthritis (n = 3) or of a recent EBV infection (n = 5).

Among the 32 subjects with the seronegative/LTT-positive constellation, 16 of the patients (50%) had early erythema migrans at the time of blood sample collection. The clinical symptoms of the remaining 16 patients were ambiguous - 6 of them had a positive IgG and another 6 had a positive IgM ELISA test, in each case without the detection of specific bands in the immunoblot. Therefore, 16 (1.1%) of the 1480 Borrelia-LTT results must be regarded as false positives.

The number of false negative results for the Borrelia-LTT can only be determined from the group of 94 seropositive, clinically confirmed borreliosis patients of the validation phase. The rate in these cases was 10.6% (n = 10).

We would like to mention, that the setting of the study was not suitable to clarify the specificity or sensitivity of the serological tests itself because only the exact clinical evaluation would be suitable as gold standard for this purpose.

Borrelia Lysate Antigens of Three Different Borrelia Species have Proven to be Largely Cross-reactive in the Borrelia-LTT

340 positive Borrelia-LTT results were evaluated with regard to the number of positive reactions in each individual test using the 3 lysate antigens and rOspC (Table 3). A positive reaction to only one antigen was observed only in rare cases and regarded as unspecific.

The results show that the lysate antigens of all three Borrelia species are predominantly cross-reactive in the Borrelia-LTT.

Positive Borrelia-LTT Declines Significantly Following Antibiotic Treatment

In addition, follow-up investigations before and 4-6 weeks after a three-week antibiotic treatment were performed in 230 patients with a positive Borrelia-LTT (SI > 5

Table 2a. Analysis of the Constellation of a Positive Borrelia Serology/ Negative LTT

	n	(%)
Borreliosis after antibiotic therapy (no clinical findings)	240	(90.2)
Rheumatoid arthritis, LEV	15	(5.7)
Status post primary EBV infection	5	(1.9)
Reactive arthritis	3	(1.1)
unclassifiable	3	(1.1)

(n = 266)

Table 2b. Analysis of the Constellation of a Negative Borrelia Serology/ Positive LTT

	n	(%)
Erythema migrans	16	(50.0)
Positive Borrelia ELISA IgM, IgG, immunoblot negative	12	(37.5)
unclassifiable	4	(12.5)

(n = 32)

with 3 Borrelia antigens). 140 of them had a clinical early borreliosis and 90 late manifestations of borreliosis. The division into early (n = 140) and late manifestations (n = 90) was based on existing clinical data and the probable time of infection, i.e., less than (early borreliosis) or more than one year (late borreliosis) (Table 4).

A significant decrease in SI values in the Borrelia-LTT became apparent after the antibiotic treatment. Among those with early manifestations, 92% of patients had negative or borderline LTT results 4 to 6 weeks after antibiotic treatment. By contrast, this was true of only 53% of patients with late manifestations. The retrospective evaluation of clinical data indicated, that in parallel to the LTT, clinical symptoms also regressed significantly or were no longer present. In cases of late manifestations with a persistent positive Borrelia-LTT, partial to complete resistance to treatment of the symptoms was observed.

The serological findings did not change significantly during the same period. In some cases, an increase in the absorbance of the Borrelia ELISA for IgG and IgM antibodies was found. Due to the low sensitivity of the PCR in blood we refrained from performing this parallel analysis in our study.

Follow-up Studies of the Borrelia-LTT Over a Period of One Year

From the group of early manifestations, 12 patients (ery-

thema migrans, n = 9; acute neuroborreliosis, n = 3), and from the group of late borreliosis, 18 patients (Lyme arthritis, n = 12; chronic neuroborreliosis, n = 6) both the serology and the Borrelia-LTT were performed at least every 3 months in the course of a year. An additional LTT testing is normally performed if a recurrence of Lyme borreliosis is clinically suspected.

The serological findings in all cases showed no significant qualitative changes. Among patients with early manifestations, the Borrelia-LTT that were negative after antibiotic treatment were again weakly positive in only three cases (clinical manifestations were diffuse arthromyalgia and, in one case, painful swelling of an ankle); otherwise, the LTT results in this group were stable negatives (Table 5a).

Patients with late borreliosis (Table 5b) showed in 16 of 18 cases intermittent multiple increases in SI values, associated with a clinical increase in symptoms in each case. After antibiotic treatment, the SI values decreased again. These "peaks" were also observed after the Borrelia-LTT had become negative.

Only 3 patients (No. 3, 11 and 12) showed a reactivation after antibiotic treatment.

DISCUSSION

In order to investigate the diagnostic value of the Borrelia-LTT, we performed several *in vitro* investigations and re-evaluated patient data and analytical values of patients which

Table 3. Number of Positive Reactions to the 4 Borrelia Antigens in Patients with Positive Borrelia LTT

	n	(%)
SI > 3 for all four Borrelia antigens	162	(47.6)
SI >3 in 3 lysate antigens, OspC < 3	76	(22.3)
SI > 3 in 2 lysate antigens, OspC > 3	63	(18.5)
SI > 3 in 2 lysate antigens, OspC < 3	24	(7.1)
SI > 3 in 1 lysate antigen, OspC > 3	15	(4.5)

(n = 340)

Table 4. Comparison of Borrelia-LTT in Patients with Early Versus Late Borreliosis Manifestations

Early Manifestations (n = 140), Before Therapy SI > 5		n	(%)
after antibiotic therapy	SI < 2	109	(77.8)
	SI >2 < 3	20	(14.3)
	SI >3 <5	9	(6.4)
	SI >5	2	(1.5)
Late Manifestations (n= 90), Before Treatment SI > 5		n	(%)
after antibiotic therapy	SI < 2	20	(22.3)
	SI >2 < 3	28	(31.0)
	SI >3 <5	32	(35.6)
	SI > 5	10	(11.1)

(SI <2 =negative; SI >2<3 = borderline, SI >3<5 = weak positive; SI >5 = positive)

Table 5a. Borrelia-LTT Follow-Up Studies (Early Manifestations)

Month	0	3	6	9	12
patient 1	6.8	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 2	9.6	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 3	10.9	<2*	<2	6.8	<2*
patient 4	12.6	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 5	10.2	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 6	8.4	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 7	11.8	<3*	<2	<2	<2
patient 8	8.8	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 9	19.6	<3*	<3	<2	<2
patient 10	13.4	<3*	<2	<2	<2
patient 11	6.5	<2*	<2	4.2	<2*
patient 12	10.2	<3*	4.8	<3*	<2

(* 4-6 weeks after antibiotic therapy)

Shown are the results of follow-up studies with the Borrelia-LTT for 12 patients with early manifestations of borreliosis (mainly *E. migrans*) over a period of 1 year from the date of initial diagnosis before therapy (the highest of the 4 respective SI values of the Borrelia-LTT is given). Only 3 patients (No. 3, 11 and 12) showed a reactivation after antibiotic treatment.

Table 5b. Borrelia-LTT Follow-up Studies (Late Manifestations)

Month	0	3	6	9	12
patient 1	12.2	<2*	4.8	<2*	4.2
patient 2	9.4	3.3*	<2*	5.8	<2*
patient 3	9.8	4.8*	<2*	6.2*	3.9*
patient 4	10.6	5.2*	3.5*	<2*	6.4
patient 5	8.5	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 6	16.2	6.0*	3.2*	7.2	4.8*
patient 7	14.8	<3*	<2	4.9	<2*
patient 8	10.8	6.2*	3.8*	8.2	3.6*
patient 9	6.3	<2*	<2	<2	<2
patient 10	8.2	<2*	<2	5.6	<2*
patient 11	6.6	<2*	<2	3.8	3.1*
patient 12	9.1	<3*	4.2	3.6	<2*
patient 13	7.8	4.8	4.2*	<3*	3.8
patient 14	6.9	<2*	3.6	<2*	4.9
patient 15	9.6	<2*	5.4	<2*	3.1
patient 16	12.1	4.2	3.1*	<2*	<2
patient 17	14.4	5.4	4.8*	<3*	4.5
patient 18	10.6	<3*	<2	<2	<2

(* 4-6 weeks after antibiotic therapy)

Shown are the results of follow-up studies with the Borrelia-LTT over a period of 1 year in 18 patients with late manifestations of borreliosis (an infection more than 2 years previously). Only 3 patients (No. 5, 9 and 10) showed no reactivations after initial treatment with antibiotics.

were investigated routinely in our laboratory.

A Borrelia-LTT with one recombinant antigen and lysate antigens of the three relevant Borrelia species (*B. sensu stric-*

to, B. afzelii and B.garinii) was developed and tested. The results achieved thus allow us to answer the following questions:

1. In patients with clinical borreliosis prior to the start of antibiotic therapy, there is a high degree of correspondence between the results of Borrelia serology and Borrelia-LTT studies.
2. The sensitivity of the Borrelia-LTT is 89.4% for clinically active borreliosis, with a specificity of 98,7%.
3. The lysate antigens of the three species of Borrelia and the recombinant OspC cross-reacted in the Borrelia-LTT. Therefore, it is not possible to determine the respective species involved.
4. The negative results in clinically healthy seropositive subjects and the studies before and after antibiotic treatment of patients with clinically active disease are a strong indication that the Borrelia-LTT with lymphocytes from peripheral blood is positive only when the immune system is currently being stimulated by Borrelia. The proof that the test responds only during an active Borrelia infection could only be provided by the simultaneous detection of Borrelia by culture or Borrelia PCR (Borrelia DNA detection). In our patient cohort, this was demonstrated in only 6 out of the 32 cases tested by Borrelia PCR.

The results of our study differ in part from some published data which show a low specificity of the Borrelia-LTT [24, 25]. This is very likely due to methodology. The addition of interferon- α to the cell culture medium inhibits non-specific proliferation of lymphocytes and promotes the function of antigen-presenting cells. This improves the discriminatory power of positive and negative LTT results, even though the SI values of the positive reactions and the blank values are lower than in assays without interferon [23]. Another modification is the use of polymyxin B for the elimination of nonspecific activating lipid groups from the Borrelia lysates and traces of LPS from the rOspC expressed in *E. coli*. In this way, common, nonspecific borderline and weak positive LTT reactions were eliminated (data not shown).

Of great importance are the selection and especially the dosage of the Borrelia test antigens. Lysate antigens, kindly provided by Seramun (Heidesee), were specially purified for the ELISA test and showed no positive reactions with negative control sera. Nevertheless, the presence of Borrelia-nonspecific proteins in the lysates that may cross-react with other bacterial species may be unavoidable.

Our own experience in the development of antigen-specific LTT applications show that the "specific diagnostic width" of the test antigens is particularly important. For the Borrelia-LTT, therefore, it was necessary to consider whether those concentrations of Borrelia test antigens which cause barely any positive/borderline LTT reactions in 20 seronegative subjects, are sufficient to detect Borrelia-specific helper cells in the blood of patients with clinical borreliosis.

Obviously, the advantage of the Borrelia-LTT presented here is the use of a mixture of Borrelia-specific antigens in the Borrelia lysates. This is confirmed by the calculated sensitivity of 89,6% and specificity of about 98,7% for seropositive clinical borreliosis prior to antibiotic therapy. In contrast, in preliminary tests with all recombinant Borrelia proteins (p93, p39, p34, p25, p18) available to us, only the rOspC (p25) was proven to be a suitable test antigen for the Borrelia-LTT. For all other proteins, the "specific diagnostic

width" was too small (results of these preliminary tests are not shown). Nevertheless, the use of lysate antigens in LTT studies is, in principle, problematic, since each new antigen batch has to be tested in parallel with the previously used antigen batch. Therefore, the studies presented here were carried out with two different batches of antigen, which was especially important for the LTT results. The results achieved so far with lysate antigens, however, encourage us to test mixtures of recombinant antigens or Borrelia antigens purified from lysates in the LTT in the future in order to achieve well-defined reproducible test antigens.

A strong argument for the Borrelia-specific LTT reactions to the test antigens used is the fact that the positive reactions following antibiotic treatment were always regressive, and in early manifestations of Lyme borreliosis, they were predominantly negative. These results correspond to observations of other authors [12, 14, 16, 21], but also contradict other reports [24, 25, 26]. The discrepancies are very likely due to the LTT method modified by us and the different antigens. According to our results, it is very likely that Borrelia-specific T helper cells, after overcoming the active infection (i.e., elimination of Borrelia antigens), migrate mainly from the bloodstream into the lymph nodes or spleen, and therefore their numbers in the blood are lower than the detection limit for the LTT. An allergen elimination test has the same effect in appropriate LTT applications in patients with type IV allergies to medications (non-published personal observation). Since the total number of T cells in the blood is relatively constant in individuals and even decreases with age, it is justified to assume that Borrelia-specific T cells circulate only during an active confrontation of the immune system with appropriate antigens in large numbers in the blood.

A Borrelia-LTT test that has become negative is not evidence, however, that a Borrelia infection has been cured. This was demonstrated in follow-up studies over a one year period in patients with late borreliosis. Borrelia that persist in spite of antibiotic treatment, which have been described multiple times [27, 28, 29, 30], are very likely responsible for this phenomenon. After reactivation of the infection with renewed antigen presence, apparently Borrelia-specific T cells are rapidly mobilized from the lymphoid organs. The clinical symptoms and the course of the LTT reactions suggest that these patients could suffer from persistent or latent borreliosis with phases of reactivation. For this reason doubts are arising about the prevailing opinion that a single, concerning dose and duration appropriate, antibiotic treatment is sufficient to eliminate the Borrelia completely.

We were able to confirm an important piece of information from Krause *et al* [12] on the beneficial effect of autologous serum for Borrelia-LTT. It is very likely that the antibodies contained in the serum form immune complexes with Borrelia antigens and thus promote uptake by antigen-presenting cells.

In summary, the following conclusions can be made for the use of the Borrelia-LTT:

1. Except for early manifestations of borreliosis (2 to 6 weeks after infection), the detection of Borrelia-specific antibodies to confirm the clinical diagnosis of borreliosis is

often sufficient. Only in the case of an unclear clinical picture of borreliosis coupled with negative or borderline serology the Borrelia-LTT can be beneficial in order to make a decision regarding the indication for antibiotic treatment since it also exhibits a clear positive reaction in the case of early manifestations.

2. In the case of infections in the more remote past with an ambiguous clinical picture and positive Borrelia serology, the Borrelia-LTT provides an important indication as whether an active Borrelia infection could exist. The Borrelia serology is not suitable in this case, and the direct pathogen detection methods (PCR or culture) are also not sufficient for answering this question due to their low sensitivity. It must be noted, however, that it is not only the results of the Borrelia-LTT that are important for the indication for antibiotic treatment, but also the medical history and the current clinical picture.

3. It has been shown that the Borrelia-LTT can be used to evaluate the success of antibiotic treatment, although the clinical course is also particularly important. However, the symptoms of disseminated borreliosis may persist after successful treatment for some time, however. An LTT follow-up examination is reasonable, at the earliest, 4 to 6 weeks after the completion of therapy. This interval is necessary because, on the one hand, possibly surviving Borrelia can become active during this time and, on the other hand, Borrelia-specific T cells persist in the blood for some time after elimination of the antigens. The Borrelia-LTT should not be performed during antibiotic therapy because, in our experience, the result will be negative, but soon after discontinuation of treatment, it may again become positive.

Criticism is often based on the frequency of false-positive results of the Borrelia-LTT (5). This problem continues to exist unless there is sufficient testing of the antigen specificity of the Borrelia-LTT. In the case of frequently false positive reactions, the dose of the test antigens is too high. Currently, since there is no available gold standard for the laboratory diagnosis of borreliosis or its exclusion, the Borrelia-LTT can only be validated according to clinical and serological findings. Nevertheless, there are still gaps in the validation that we conducted. For instance, it was only possible in individual cases to examine patients with active syphilis ($n = 3$) or leptospirosis infection ($n = 2$) for potential cross reactivity. In these few cases, there was no evidence of such cross-reactivity in the Borrelia-LTT. Allergies, autoimmune diseases and acute, persistent and latent viral infections (including HIV, EBV, CMV, VZV) have now been excluded, by further investigations, as a possible cause of false-positive reactions (unpublished data).

The Borrelia-LTT cannot provide any information on whether a patient has ever had a Borrelia infection. This question is largely answered only by serology. Our studies presented here and the results of other authors [12, 14, 16, 21], particularly the work of Valentine-Thon *et al.* on LTT-MELISA [31], provide good arguments that the positive Borrelia-LTT indicates an active borreliosis which, however, could only be definitely proven in conjunction with positive detection of Borrelia. However, we succeeded in doing so in only 3 seronegative patients with erythema migrans using positive Borrelia-PCR in the blood (unpublished data).

The *in vitro* antigen-induced lymphocyte proliferation is the approved cellular immunological method for detecting antigen-specific memory T helper cells. In addition and prior to clonal lymphocyte proliferation, however, a series of immunologically relevant genes for both cell surface markers and especially cytokines such as interleukin-2 and interferon- γ are activated, which in turn are used as the basis for newer cellular immunological laboratory methods. An example is the QuantiFERON test (interferon- γ stimulation) performed to detect a Mycobacter tuberculosis infection [32]. However, a distinction between a latent and florid infection is not possible with this test, however. Currently, the ELISPOT test (quantitative determination of cytokine-producing lymphocytes) is used in vaccine research in particular, and is also being tested in patients with a Borrelia infection. According to the previously published results by Forsberg *et al.* and our own experiences, even though seropositive individuals are detected by the ELISPOT assay, a differentiation between symptomatic and asymptomatic Borrelia infections was not possible [33-35]. The advantage of antigen-specific cytokine-stimulation assays would be that, while 6 days are required for an antigen-specific LTT test, the incubation period for the cytokine assay is only about 24 hours. It should be noted, however, that the biology on which these cellular immunological test systems are based is very different. While in the LTT setting T helper memory cells are clearly identified as cytokine producers, also other lymphocyte subpopulations like NK cells, and (depending on the cytokine) also monocytes are being taken into consideration as cytokine producers. However, cellular short-term stimulation methods are rather sensitive to nonspecific activations, while, in the LTT such influences dominate only during the first 48 to 72 hours, and thus have virtually disappeared after 6 days at the time the cellular proliferation is measured. For the particular issue of florid or non-active Borrelia infection, the situation is even more difficult since there is usually no gold standard available (i.e., patients with positive detection of live Borrelia). In this difficult situation, a new method should always be validated in comparison with an extensively proven method. The aim of our investigations were therefore to evaluate the results previously obtained with an optimized Borrelia-LTT in order to provide a basis for necessary comparative prospective studies with cellular immunological methods based on antigen-specific gene activation or cytokine stimulation. In our opinion, the Borrelia-LTT presented here fulfills the associated requirements.

Finally, it should be emphasized again that the primacy for the diagnosis of borreliosis remains with the medical history and clinical symptoms. In second place is the determination of Borrelia-specific antibodies in the blood or cerebral synovial fluid. With the Borrelia-LTT another diagnostic tool is available that can help to clarify issues for the indication of antibiotic treatment. The Borrelia-LTT is particularly important, however, for assessing the effects of therapy if the initial findings are positive, as they should prove negative four weeks after the end of therapy, or at least should prove to have declined significantly.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None declared.

REFERENCES

- [1] Steere AC. Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:115-25.
- [2] Teward F, Braun R. Durchführung und Interpretation serologischer Tests bei Verdacht auf Borrelioseninfektion. *Clin Lab* 1998; 44: 897-902.
- [3] Dejmekova H, Hulinska D, Tegzova D, Pavelka K, Gatterova J, Vavrik P. Seronegative Lyme arthritis caused by *Borrelia garinii*. *Clin Rheumatol* 2002; 21: 330-4.
- [4] Aguero-Rosenfeld ME, Wang G, Schwartz I, Wormser GP. Diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005; 18: 484-509.
- [5] Wilske B, Fingerle V. Lyme-Borreliose Diagnostik. *Mikrobiologie* 2005; 15: 209-20.
- [6] Kalish RA, McHugh G, Granquist J, Ruthazer R, Steere AC. Persistence of immunoglobulin M or G antibody responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* 10-20 years after active Lyme disease. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; 33: 780-5.
- [7] Schütt C. Lymphozytentransformationstest (LTT). In: Friemel H, Ed. Immunologische Arbeitsmethoden. Stuttgart: G. Fischer Verlag Jena 1991; pp. 349-55.
- [8] Sigal LH, Moffat CM, Steere AC, Dwyer JM. Cellular immune findings in Lyme disease. *Yale J Biol Med* 1984; 57: 595-8.
- [9] Sigal LH, Steere AC, Freeman DH, Dwyer JM. Proliferative responses of mononuclear cells in Lyme disease. *Arthritis Rheum* 1986; 29: 761-9.
- [10] Dattwyler RJ, Volkman DJ, Luft BJ, Halperin JJ, Thomas J, Golightly MG. Seronegative Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 1988; 319: 1441-6.
- [11] Dressler F, Yoshinari NH, Steere AC. The T-cell proliferative assay in the diagnosis of Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; 115: 533-9.
- [12] Krause A, Brade V, Schoerner C, Solbach W, Kalden JR, Burmester GRT cell proliferation induced by *Borrelia burgdorferi* in patients with Lyme borreliosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991; 34: 393-402.
- [13] Schempp C, Owsianowski M, Lange R, Gollick H. Comparison of *Borrelia burgdorferi* ultrasonicate and whole *B. burgdorferi* cells as a stimulus for T-cell proliferation and GM-CSF secretion *in vitro*. *Zentralbl Bakteriologie* 1993; 279: 417-25.
- [14] Schempp C, Bocklage H, Owsianowski M, Lange R, Orfanos CE, Gollnick H. *In vivo* und *in vitro* Nachweis einer Borrelioseninfektion bei einer morpheaähnlichen Hautveränderung mit negativer Borrelienserologie. *Hautarzt* 1993; 44: 14-8.
- [15] Buechner SA, Lautenschläger S, Itin P, Bircher A, Erb P. Lymphoproliferative responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in patients with erythema migrans, acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans, lymphadenitis benigna cutis, and morphea. *Arch Dermatol* 1995; 131: 673-7.
- [16] Breier F, Klade H, Stanek G, Poitschek C, Kirnbauer R, Dorda W, Aberer E. Lymphoproliferative responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in circumscribed scleroderma. *Br J Dermatol* 1996; 134: 285-91.
- [17] Rutkowski S, Busch DH, Huppertz HI. Lymphocyte proliferation assay in response to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in patients with Lyme arthritis: analysis of lymphocyte subsets. *Rheumatol Int* 1997; 17: 151-8.
- [18] Zoschke DC, Skemp AA, Defosse DL. Lymphoproliferative responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; 114: 285-9.
- [19] Roessner K, Fikrig E, Russel JQ, Cooper SM, Flavell RA, Budd RC. Prominent T lymphocyte response to *Borrelia burgdorferi* from peripheral blood of unexposed donors. *Eur J Immunol* 1994; 24: 320-4.
- [20] Huppertz HI, Mösbauer S, Busch DH, Karch H. Lymphoproliferative responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in the diagnosis of Lyme arthritis in children and adolescents. *Eur J Pediatr* 1996; 155: 297-302.
- [21] Breier F, Klade H, Stanek G. Lymphoproliferationstest bei kutanen Manifestationen der Lyme-Borreliose. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 1995; 27: 170-3.
- [22] Boyum A. Isolation of mononuclear cells and granulocytes from human blood. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1968; (Suppl 97):77.
- [23] von Baehr V, Mayer W, Liebenthal C, von Baehr R, Bieger W, Volk HD. Improving the *in vitro* antigen specific T cell proliferation assay: the use of interferon-alpha to elicit antigen specific stimulation and decrease bystander proliferation. *J Immunol Methods* 2001; 251: 63-71.
- [24] Horowitz HH, Pavia CS, Bittker S, et al. Sustained cellular immune response to *Borrelia burgdorferi*: Lack of correlation with clinical presentation and serology. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 1994; 1: 373-8.
- [25] Pohl-Koppe A, Kaunicnik A, Wilske B. Characterization of the cellular and humoral immune response to outer protein C and outer protein 17 in children with early disseminated Lyme borreliosis. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 2001; 189: 193-200.
- [26] Vaz A, Glickstein L, Field JA, et al. Cellular and humoral immune responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* antigens in patients with culture-positive early Lyme disease. *Infect Immun* 2001; 69: 7437-44.
- [27] Priem S, Burmester GR, Kamstadt T, Wolbart K, Rittig MG, Krause A. Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* by PCR in synovial membrane, but not synovial fluid from patients with persisting Lyme arthritis after antibiotic therapy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1998; 57: 118-21.
- [28] Phillips SE, Mattman LH, Hulinska D, Moayad H. A proposal for the reliable culture of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from patients with chronic Lyme disease, even from those previously aggressively treated. *Infektion* 1998; 26: 364-7.
- [29] Oksi J, Marjamaki M, Nikoskelainen J, Viljanen MK. *Borrelia burgdorferi* detected by culture and PCR in clinical relapse of disseminated Lyme borreliosis. *Ann Med* 1999; 31: 225-32.
- [30] Honegr K, Hulinska D, Beran J, Dostal V, Havlasova J, Cermakova Z. Long term and repeated electron microscopy and PCR detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* *sensu lato* after an antibiotic treatment. *Cent Eur J Public Health* 2004; 12: 6-11.
- [31] Valentine-Thon E, Ilsemann K, Sandkamp M. A novel lymphocyte transformation test (LTT-MELISA) for Lyme borreliosis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007; 57: 27-34.
- [32] Connell TG, Rangaka MX, Curtis N, Wilkinson RJ. QuantiFERON-TB Gold: state of the art for the diagnosis of tuberculosis infection? *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2006; 6: 663-77.
- [33] Forsberg P, Ernerudh J, Ekerfelt C, Roberg M, Vrethem M, Bergström S. The outer surface proteins, of Lyme disease borrelia spirochetes stimulate T cells to secrete interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma): diagnostic and pathogenic implications. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1995; 101: 453-60.
- [34] Ekerfelt C, Forsberg P, Svenvic M, Roberg M, Bergström S, Ernerudh J. Asymptomatic borrelia-seropositive individuals display the same incidence of *Borrelia*-specific interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma)-secreting cells as patients with clinical *Borrelia* infection. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1999; 115: 498-502.
- [35] Ekerfelt C, Masreliez C, Svenvic M, Ernerudh J, Roberg M, Forsberg P. Antibodies and T-cell reactivity to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in an asymptomatic population: a study of healthy blood donors in an inland town district in the south-east of Sweden. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2001; 33: 806-8.

Received: April 28, 2012

Revised: June 22, 2012

Accepted: July 02, 2012

© Baehr et al.; licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.